

UDC 94:329.733(100=161.2)“1948/1951”
DOI 10.24919/2519-058X.31.306358

Oleksandr SYCH

PhD hab. (Political Sciences), PhD (History), Associate Professor, Professor of the Department of Political Science, Vasyl Stefanyk Precarpathian National University, 57 Shevchenko Street, Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine, postal code 76000 (sycho@ukr.net)

ORCID: 0000A0002A3697A7636

Олександр СИЧ

доктор політичних наук, кандидат історичних наук, доцент, професор кафедри політології, Прикарпатський національний університет імені Василя Стефаника, вул. Шевченка, 57, м. Івано-Франківськ, Україна, індекс 76000, (sycho@ukr.net)

Bibliographic Description of the Article: Sych, O. (2024). Development of Foreign Units of the Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists in 1948 – 1951. *Skhidnoievropeiskyi Istorychnyi Visnyk [East European Historical Bulletin]*, 31, 111–120. doi: 10.24919/2519-058X.31.306358

**DEVELOPMENT OF FOREIGN UNITS OF THE ORGANIZATION
OF UKRAINIAN NATIONALISTS IN 1948 – 1951**

Abstract. *The purpose of the study is to elucidate the development of foreign units network of the Organization of Ukrainian nationalists in 1948 – 1951, the legal public organizations established by the Ukrainian nationalists, and the network of mass media. The research methodology is based on the application of the principles of historicism, objectivity, and scientificity, using concrete historical, comparative historical, problem chronological, and structural systemic methods. The scientific novelty consists in the fact that for the first time, on the basis of previously unavailable documents from the OUN archives, there has been elucidated the organizational structure development of the foreign units of the OUN and the system of public organizations and mass media networks established by them in emigration during the specified chronological period. The Conclusions.* It has been determined that the process of mass resettlement of the Ukrainians with the status of relocated people within Europe and other countries overseas had a significant impact on the organizational development of foreign units of the OUN in 1948 – 1951. As a result, field organizations in Germany and Austria lost a significant number of members and ceased to be basic. After the resettlement of the former participants of Division 1 of the United National Army from Italy, the field organization of Great Britain became basic in Europe. At the same time, the process of resettlement made it possible to develop the Foreign Units of the OUN in the USA, Canada, Argentina, and Australia, which practically had not existed there before 1948. As tools of an external activity, the Foreign Units of the OUN also developed a wide system of legal public organizations, a network of printed mass media, organized their own underground radio station, and after its closure, the Ukrainian department as part of the Spanish radio station. This allowed them to effectively increase their public influence and spread the ideas of national liberation among the Ukrainian emigration and foreign citizens in the countries of settlement.

Key words: *foreign units of the OUN, field organization, SUM, ABN, public organization, printed mass media, underground radio station.*

ОРГАНІЗАЦІЙНИЙ РОЗВИТОК ЗАКОРДОННИХ ЧАСТИН ОРГАНІЗАЦІЇ УКРАЇНСЬКИХ НАЦІОНАЛІСТІВ В 1948 – 1951 рр.

Анотація. Метою дослідження є аналіз розвитку ЗЧ ОУН в 1948 – 1951 рр. в сукупності організаційної мережі, створених ними легальних громадських організацій та мережі засобів масової інформації. **Методологія дослідження** ґрунтувалася на застосуванні принципів історизму, об'єктивності та науковості із використанням конкретно-історичного, порівняльно-історичного, проблемно-хронологічного та структурно-системного методів. **Наукова новизна** статті полягає у тому, що вперше на основі раніше недоступних документів з архівів ОУН проаналізовано розвиток організаційної структури ЗЧ ОУН та створеної ними в еміграції системи громадських організацій і мережі засобів масової інформації у вказаний хронологічний період. **Висновки.** Встановлено, що на організаційний розвиток ЗЧ ОУН в 1948 – 1951 рр. суттєво вплинув процес масового переселення українців зі статусом переміщених осіб в межах Європи та в інші країни за океан. Внаслідок цього теренові організації Німеччини та Австрії втратили значну кількість членства і перестали бути базовими в структурі ЗЧ ОУН, а після переселення з Італії колишніх учасників I дивізії УНА такою у Європі стала теренова організація Великої Британії. Водночас процес переселення уможливив створити організаційні структури ЗЧ ОУН в США, Канаді, Аргентині та Австралії, яких до 1948 р. не існувало. Як інструменти зовнішнього впливу ЗЧ ОУН також розбудували широку систему легальних громадських організацій, мережу друкованих засобів масової інформації, створили власну підпільну радіостанцію, а після її ліквідації організували відкриття українського відділу в структурі іспанського “Radio Nacional”. Це дало змогу ефективно поширювати ідеї національного визволення серед української еміграції та іноземних громадян у країнах поселення.

Ключові слова: Закордонні Частини ОУН, теренова організація, СУМ, АБН, громадська організація, друковані ЗМІ, підпільна радіостанція.

The Problem Statement. Since the restoration of independence in Ukraine, the study of the national liberation struggle in the 20th century and history of the Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists (OUN) and the Ukrainian Insurgent Army (UPA) has gained a significant development. However, the Foreign Units of the OUN remain beyond the focus of the Ukrainian researchers. Established in 1946, this nationalist formation played a supporting role in it until the mid-50s of the 20th century, and after the defeat of the nationalist underground in Ukraine, as a coherent organizational formation, took over the function of continuing the national liberation struggle. The lack of researches on this issue is of an objective nature, since the archives of the Foreign Units of the OUN are mostly located abroad and access to them is difficult for the Ukrainian scholars. Therefore, the topicality of this research consists in partially filling this gap in the history of the organizational network development of the Foreign Units of the OUN on the basis of documents from the archives of the OUN.

The Review of Recent Researches. The activities of the Foreign Units of the OUN remain an undisclosed page of the Ukrainian national liberation struggle of the 20th century. If at the dawn of Ukraine's independence, only certain aspects of it were “reanimated” (Ukhach, 2020), then even to this day, the history of the Ukrainian Nationalist Front is one of those “aspects” that remains “unreanimated”. After all, in modern Ukrainian historical science there is lack of focus on the issue of the Ukrainian diaspora nationalist organizations (Akymenko, 2018).

Certain aspects of the history of the Foreign Units of the OUN are fragmentarily reflected in the final collective research of the group of historians, created under the Government Commission for the study of the activities of the OUN and the UPA (OUN and UPA, 2005) and in the researches by Kateryna Akymenko (Akymenko, 2018; Akymenko, 2022),

Yaroslav Antoniuk and Volodymyr Trofymovych (Antoniuk & Trofymovych, 2021), Dmytro Viedienieiev and Henadiy Bystrukhina (Viedienieiev & Bystrukhin, 2006, Viedienieiev & Bystrukhin, 2007), Pavlo Hai-Nyzhnyk (Hai-Nyzhnyk, 2022), Anatoly Kaminsky (Kaminskyi, 1999), Anatoly Kentii (Kentii, 1999), Yuriy Kyrychuk (Kyrychuk, 2003), Vasyl Kuk (Kuk, 1999), Oleksandr Panchenko (Panchenko, 2003), Ivan Patryliak (Patryliak, 2012), Hryhoriy Riy (Riy, 2021), Anatoly Rusnachenko (Rusnachenko, 2002), Vasyl Ilnytskyi and Mykola Haliv (Ilnytskyi & Haliv, 2019; Ilnytskyi & Haliv, 2020), Vasyl Ilnytskyi and Vitalii Telvak (Ilnytskyi & Telvak, 2018), Volodymyr Troshchynskyi (Troshchynskyi, 1994). The range of the author's analyses of the Foreign Units of the OUN is quite wide – using Mykola Slobodianiuk's approach, from “non-complimentary” to moderate and positive (Slobodianiuk, 2023, pp. 243–244). However, in these researches the organizational network of the Foreign Units of the OUN is not elucidated, knowledge of which is basic for a systematic study of the history of this nationalist formation.

The Purpose of the Research. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to analyse the development of the Foreign Units of the OUN in 1948 – 1951 concerning their organizational structure and system of public organizations established by them in emigration, a network of mass media and other means of an external influence.

The Research Results. The specified chronological framework of the article is tied to the author's previous research on the formation of organizational network of the Foreign Units of the OUN at the initial stage of their creation in 1946 – 1947 (Sych, 2017). The sources for both studies were the documents on the activities of the Foreign Units found in the Archive Collection of the OUN in New York (ACOUN).

A lower chronological limit of the study is connected with the mass resettlement of the Ukrainians with the status of relocated people to other countries in 1948, including outside Europe, which had a significant impact on the changes in the organizational network of the Foreign Units of the OUN. Instead, the upper one – with the Third Conference of the Foreign Units of the OUN, which took place in Munich on April 14–17, 1951 (ACOUN(h), p. 1) and at it, among other issues, a similar issue was considered on the basis of the relevant organizational reports (ACOUN(d); ACOUN(i)). In turn, these materials became the basis for the preparation of information transmitted in the same year by a courier for the OUN Provid in Ukraine (ACOUN(f)). It is these documents and other reports of field organizations that make it possible to reconstruct the state and dynamics of the organizational network development of the Foreign Units of the OUN and related institutions of external influence during the specified period.

Based on the previous research, we should state that at the initial stage of formation during the period of 1946 – 1947, the network of the Foreign Units of the OUN spread in Germany, Austria, France, Belgium, Great Britain, Italy and had its representative in Switzerland. At the same time, the field organizations of Germany and Austria were the basis for the deployment of activities in other countries. According to our calculations, the number of this network was about 2,000 members and candidates for membership of the OUN and about 4,000 supporters. Two thirds of them lived in Germany (Sych, 2017, 278).

During the period of 1948 – 1951, significant changes took place in the organizational network of the Foreign Units of the OUN, which were connected with the resettlement of temporarily relocated people to other European countries and overseas, which since 1948 had gained massive proportions. As a result, the organization weakened significantly in Europe and instead spread to the overseas countries. As of the beginning of 1951, the Foreign Units

of the OUN already operated in the following 9 countries: Germany, Austria, England, Belgium, France, Canada, the USA, Argentina, Australia. In a number of countries, such as Spain, Holland, Sweden, Norway, Switzerland, and Tunisia, there were only small centres or contact people (ACOUN(d), p. 1).

Each of these countries had its own specifics that influenced the development of the respective field organization. Thus, in particular, Germany and Austria, due to the mass emigration of the Ukrainians, ceased to be its main membership base. Instead, owing to the personnel of these two field organizations, foreign units were established in other countries of Europe, America, and Australia.

On this occasion, the former head of the Security Service (SS) of the Foreign Units of the OUN, Stepan Mudryk, recalled: "In 1948 – 1950, there was a mass emigration of the Ukrainians from IRO Germany, mostly to the USA, Canada, Argentina, and Australia. Even earlier, England, France, and Belgium took several thousand people from the camps of relocated people, which were called "DP", choosing the young and healthy ones. [...] In these years, there were members of the OUN in France, Belgium and England, who settled there and launched organized political activities in the countries" (Mudryk-Mechnyk, 1995, p. 49).

As a result of such processes, the field organization of Germany, in which in 1947 there were 1,388 members of the OUN, 135 candidates and 3,510 organized supporters (Sych, 2017, p. 270), in 1951, there were 190 members and 22 candidates (ACOUN(b), p. 2).

With the arrival in Great Britain from Italy of the members of the former Division 1 of the Ukrainian National Army (UNA), the most numerous network of the Foreign Units of the OUN was established in this area. At the beginning of 1951, there were 1,506 members, 32 candidate members and 652 organized supporters. Only in the organization of combatants, which was under its influence, there were 3,000 members (ACOUN(n), p. 1). At the same time, after that, Italy practically lost the opportunities for the Foreign Units organizational network development of the OUN.

Although much smaller in number, a well-structured and disciplined field organization was developed in Belgium. At the beginning of 1951, there were 178 members (ACOUN(k), p. 2). This increase became possible owing to a dense concentration of the Ukrainian workers in the country's coal mines.

Similarly, in France, the organizational network development of the Foreign Units of the OUN was also based on the Ukrainian working environment. However, due to the predominance of pre-war and less politically active emigration, it operated there only in places with a larger concentration of the Ukrainians. Accordingly, the membership of the OUN was small and weaker by the criterion of a political development. In 1951, there were 63 members of the OUN in France (ACOUN(l), p. 1).

Until 1948, in the USA and Canada, field organizations were counted only on paper, and the Organization was practically not active there. There was a whole set of reasons for this: 1. lack of an appropriate organizational personnel; 2. fear of local government sanctions for a political activity; 3. giving preference to work in legal public organizations; 4. the efforts of representatives of the internal organizational opposition from the UHVR to take control of these areas and cut them off from the influence of the Foreign Units of the OUN. The situation was changed by those who arrived at the end of 1948 – the first half of 1949, immigrants from Europe, mostly from Germany and Austria. And just after that in the second half of 1949 – 1950, an organizational network was established in the USA and Canada (ACOUN(d), p. 2). At the beginning of 1951, there were 393 members in the USA (ACOUN(e), p. 2), and

690 members in Canada (in the ranks of the League for the Liberation of Ukraine (LVU), as a “front” legal organization of the OUN) (ACOUN(c), p. 2). In view of an extremely large concentration of new Ukrainian immigrants from Europe, the state of Field Organizations was assessed as insufficient by the Foreign Units of the OUN.

In Argentina, the development of organizational network of the Foreign Units also began just in the spring of 1949, when the first leading members of the OUN arrived there from England and Austria. They first formed a temporary field organization, which was later transformed into a permanent one. In this country, there were difficulties in developing an organizational network: 1. vast expanses of the country and the spread of Ukrainian emigration there; 2. an absolute advantage (up to 90%) of pre-war labour emigration; 3. strong communist influences among it (ACOUN(d), p. 2). By the beginning of 1951, an organizational network of 24 people actually existed in the capital of the country, Buenos Aires, and its suburbs (ACOUN(g), pp. 4–8). Argentina’s field leadership also managed to establish correspondence with individual members of the OUN in Paraguay and Venezuela (ACOUN(d), p. 2).

In the second half of 1949, the first leading members of the OUN also arrived in Australia, who were tasked with establishing a field leadership and uniting the existing members of the Organization into an organizational network. In Australia, as in Argentina, similar difficulties were observed: the lack of a required number of leading membership, vast expanses of the country and a small number of the Ukrainian emigration scattered there (ACOUN(d), p. 2). However, in 1951 Australian field leadership also reported that in the organizational network there were 53 members and 32 organized supporters (ACOUN(m), p. 3).

Under the influence of objective factors, the organizational network of the Foreign Units of the OUN developed to a greater extent spontaneously than purposefully. In the Information for the Provid OUN in Ukraine, the Provid of the Foreign Units of the OUN was forced to state: “Such arrangement of our personnel happened and is happening regardless of our plans and most often contrary to them. It is caused primarily by the difficulties of living and earning conditions in European countries” (ACOUN(f), p. 1).

If we add to the already mentioned figures a small organizational unit of the Foreign Units of the OUN of eight people in Spain (ACOUN(a), p. 1) and active but only one Omelian Kushpet (ACOUN(j)) on the territory of Holland, in 1951, in total, in the Foreign Units of the OUN there were approximately 3,150 members and candidates for members of the OUN.

Having such an extensive organizational network and a human potential, the Foreign Units of the OUN continued to conduct all their activities from the point of view of the greatest possible promotion of the liberation struggle in Ukraine and the spread of its ideas among the Ukrainian emigration and local population. All their activities were divided into the following main areas: 1. among their own personnel, 2. among the Ukrainian emigration, and 3. among a foreign environment.

In turn, the work with the personnel was dominated by the following main priorities: a) a quantitative expansion of the organizational network in all countries of the Ukrainian emigration settlement; b) the so-called “personification of the organization”, i.e. the maximum involvement of people from Naddniprianshchyna in it; c) raising the political level of personnel through their systematic training.

Accordingly, the Provid of the Foreign Units of the OUN stated that as of the beginning of 1951 “today we constitute the most numerous, sufficiently disciplined and mobile political force in emigration”. Due to the active migration processes and membership spread, the case

of a systematic training experienced significant difficulties. At the same time, regular special trainings under separate programmes were conducted by the military reference office, SB and youth reference office (ACOUN(f), pp. 2–3).

Efforts to involve people from Naddniprianshchyna to the Organization were also quite successful. And although in the beginning there was resistance among some leading figures of the Foreign Units, in the end it was possible to overcome it, and according to the assessment of the Provid of the Foreign Units of the OUN “the people from Naddniprianshchyna included in the organizational ranks are a high-quality, politically developed element” (ACOUN(f), p. 2).

In addition to the organizational network, an influential system of legal public organizations was also developed through the efforts of the Foreign Units of the OUN. Usually, members and supporters of the OUN played a leading role in them. Among them, two categories can be conventionally distinguished – those that spread their activities to different countries and those that operated locally in some of them, taking into account local specifics.

The Union of Ukrainian Youth (SUM) belonged to the first category. Its cells operated in various countries and seriously strengthened the local organizations of the the Foreign Units of the OUN. At the beginning of 1951, the total number of members of the SUM was 7,000 people (ACOUN(f), p. 1). Omelian Koval, who headed this organization in Belgium, recalled that at the beginning of 1952, there were 1,000 members in this country (Koval, 206, p. 145).

The Anti-Bolshevik Bloc of Peoples (ABN) was the same public organization with an inter-territorial nature of activity. It mainly acted as a tool for the implementation of tasks in a foreign environment. At that time, it already included representatives of national revolutionary formations from 20 post-Soviet and satellite countries: the Estonians, the Latvians, the Slovenians, the Serbs, the Albanians, the Ukrainians, the Georgians, the Azerbaijanis, the Bulgarians, the Croats, the Lithuanians, the Belarusians, the Slovaks, the Czechs, the Hungarians, the Romanians, the Armenians, the Cossacks, the Turkestans, the Idel-Urals and representatives from the Union of Caucasian Nations. In some countries, in particular in the USA, “Associations of the ABN supporters” were also formed. Given its composition, H. Riy reasonably characterizes the ABN as an “Eastern European anti-communist network” (Riy, 2021, p. 86).

Ya. Antoniuk and V. Trofymovych note that the SUM and the ABN were also included in the system of a close cooperation with other reference offices of the Foreign Units of the OUN Provid, including such special ones as the Reference Office of Communications (KZ) and SB (Antoniuk & Trofymovych, 2021, p. 114).

In Great Britain, as for locally influential public organizations, it became the Union of Ukrainians of Britain (SUB), in Belgium and France – the “Confederation of Ukrainian Free Professional Organizations” (KUVPO), and in Germany – the “Coordinating Centre of Ukrainian Public Institutions” (KOYTIY) (ACOUN(f), p. 4).

The experience of organizing the Ukrainian trade unions in Belgium and France seemed especially valuable. It even gained international significance, because for the first time representatives of a non-state people, such as the Ukrainians, managed to have their own branch in the international union of free labour unions. Later, representatives of other nations followed their example – the Poles, the Yugoslavs, the Hungarians, etc. (ACOUN(f), p. 4).

The general specificity of the organizations in the USA and Canada was the preference for external forms of action within the framework of legal political and social institutions. The already traditionally old emigration there was not divided into political parties according to the European tradition, but into “insurance and aid societies, which, having their own

press, compete with themselves, often wage an unhealthy religious struggle”. The Ukrainian organizations and societies of the old emigration in the USA were united in the Organization for the Defense of the Four Freedoms of Ukraine (OOChSU), and in Canada – in the Canadian-Ukrainian Committee (CUC). They were joined by associations of new emigration, through which the Foreign Units of the OUN tried to influence their policy, and ultimately these organizations began to “have a positive attitude towards the liberation struggle in Ukraine” (ACOUN(f), p. 8). At the same time, on the territory of Canada, the Foreign Units of the OUN managed to develop an influential and controlled public organization of the LVU independently.

In Argentina, the Polish Communist Party of Ukraine used Prosvita for public influence. Although it was not controlled by them, it was to some extent influenced by its local leading members. It was from the position of Prosvita that the members of the OUN overcame communist influences, which were based on the promotion of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic (UkrSSR) as a true Ukrainian state. This made it possible for local communist activists to rally politically unaware Ukrainians around cultural and educational societies named after the cult Soviet figures such as Pavlo Tychyna, Mykola Shchors, etc. (ACOUN(f), p. 9).

In Australia community work was at a low level during the period under analysis. The Foreign Units of the OUN Provid noted that “in Australia, the current settlers are the first Ukrainian emigration to the country where the spread is large and the earnings are high. Because of that, there is little political activity” (ACOUN(f), p. 9). In 1950, the Association of Ukrainians of Australia (OUA) was founded there, but supporters of the Ukrainian Nationalist Party of Ukraine were a minority in it and did not influence its policy (ACOUN(m), p. 4). Instead, the local field organization contributed to an active development of the SUM, purchased a printing house and tried to have a public influence through the newspaper “Vilna Dumka” founded in 1949 (ACOUN(d), p. 2).

In general, during this period, the Foreign Units of the OUN developed a fairly extensive network of printed mass media. At the beginning of 1951, they already had 8 newspapers and magazines at their disposal: the monthly “Surma” in Germany, “Vyzvolny Shliakh” in Great Britain and “Visnyk OOChSU” in the USA; the weeklies “The Ukrainian Independent” in Germany, “The Ukrayinets Chas” in France, “The Homin of Ukraine” in Canada, “The National Tribune” in the USA, “Free Ukraine” in Argentina, “Free Thought” in Australia. The publications “Ukrainian Slovo”, the weekly “Prosvita” in Argentina, and the weekly “Lemkivshchyna” in Canada also held ideological and political positions close to the Foreign Units of the OUN. The SUM magazines “The Avanhard” and “The Voice of Youth” also exerted a significant educational influence on the Ukrainian youth. (ACOUN(f), p. 5).

In addition, as part of the activities of the Anti-Bolshevik Bloc of Peoples (ABN), monthly magazines were published in foreign languages aimed at influencing foreigners: “ABN Korespondents” in English, German, French and Spanish; “The Ukrainian Information Service” in English; the library of monthly brochures in English “Library of Current World Affairs”. For some time in Belgium, the semi-monthly “The Voice of Ukraine” was also published in French, which was closed after the editor left for another place of residence (ACOUN(f), p. 5).

As K. Akymenko notes in his research, owing to such publications, and in particular to the commemorative practices widely used in them, “the connection and succession between the nationalist movement in the Motherland and in the diaspora were established” (Akymenko, 2022, p. 310).

In addition to the network of print media, two secret radio stations (“radio vysylni”) were established by the efforts of the Provid of the Foreign Units of the OUN in 1950 (Дві, 1950, 9). The first of them was of a rather experimental nature, operated under the name “Underground Ukraine” and broadcast only within the borders of Germany and England. The second and much more powerful one called “Radiovysylna named after Gen. Chuprynka” was launched in the Belgian Ardennes in December of the same year (ACOUN(f), p. 5).

O. Kushpeta recalled the details of preparatory actions regarding the installation of an underground radio station: “Due to the access I had to external Dutch intelligence, I agreed on the purchase of radio devices in Holland and conducting radio communication with Ukraine. Stepan Bandera and Stepan Lenkavskiyi came to negotiate” (Sych, 2007, p. 136). An English specialist was engaged for the technical work during the installation of the radio station (Koval, 2016, pp. 142–143).

“Radiovysylna named after Gen. Chuprynka” broadcast its programmes at first twice a week, and then daily, including the territory of Ukraine. Having discovered its activities, the Soviet special services first tried to jam radio transmissions, but then the radio station switched to neighbouring waves. In the end, due to diplomatic means, in March of 1951, the Belgian police surrounded the location of the radio station, arrested its employees, and confiscated the equipment (ACOUN(f), p. 5).

According to O. Koval’s recollections, Yaroslav Stetsko came to Belgium as the Chairman of the Ukrainian State Board (UDP) to settle the situation at the political level. The author himself assisted him, presenting himself as a former political prisoner of the Nazi concentration camps. Ya. Stetskiv managed to hold a number of meetings with influential people in the state – “Count de Grün, close to the royal dynasty, M. De Foix the Chairman of the KhSParty and with the head of the Police for Foreigners”. In the end, at the court hearing, this case was qualified as an administrative offense, the detainees received only two months of arrest, and the equipment of the radio station was eventually returned to the owners (Koval, 2016, pp. 142–143).

From the end of April 1951, the Provid Radio of the OUN managed to restore the Ukrainian radio broadcasts from Madrid, which were carried out three times a week. For this purpose, the local representatives of the Foreign Units of the OUN turned to the Ministry for Foreign Affairs of Spain and, having received the appropriate permission, established the Ukrainian branch of the local “Radio Nacional” (ACOUN(a), p. 1).

The Conclusions. In 1948 – 1951, the process of mass resettlement of the Ukrainians with the post-war status of relocated people within Europe and other overseas countries had a significant impact on the organizational development of the Foreign Units of the OUN. As a result, field organizations in Germany and Austria lost a significant number of members and ceased to be basic. After the resettlement of the former participants of Division 1 of the United National Army from Italy, the field organization of Great Britain became basic in Europe. At the same time, the process of resettlement made it possible to develop the Foreign Units of the OUN in the USA, Canada, Argentina, and Australia, which practically had not existed there before 1948. As tools of an external activity, the Foreign Units of the OUN also developed a wide system of legal public organizations, a network of printed mass media, organized their own underground radio station, and after its closure, the Ukrainian department as part of the Spanish radio station. This allowed them to effectively increase their public influence and spread the ideas of national liberation among the Ukrainian emigration and foreign citizens in the countries of settlement.

Acknowledgments. The author expresses his gratitude to Provid of the OUN-revolutionary for the opportunity to conduct the research in the Archive Collection of the OUN in New York (USA).

Funding. The author did not receive any financial assistance for the research and publication of this scientific work.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Arkhivna zbirka OUN v m. Niu-Yorku [Archival collection of the OUN in New York – ACOUN].

a) *Bilyk O. – Skyba – do Orgreferenta Provodu ZCH OUN. 8 travnia 1952* [Bilyk O. – Skyba – to the Orgreferent of the Provid of the FP OUN. May 8, 1952]. 2 p. [in Ukrainian]

b) *Zahalnyi zvit z pratsi Terenovoho Provodu OUN ta pidlehylykh yomu klityn v Nimechchyni za 1951 r.* [General report on the work of the OUN Field Provid and its subordinate cells in Germany for 1951]. 5 p. [in Ukrainian]

c) *Zvit z diialnosti Holovnoi Upravy LVU za chas vid 25.12.50. do 22.12.51* [Report on the activities of the Main Administration of LLU from 25.12.50 to 22.12.51]. 4 p. [in Ukrainian]

d) *Zvit OR za chas vid 48 r. do 31.3.1951 r.* [Report of the OR for the period from 1948 to 31.3.1951]. 4 p. [in Ukrainian]

e) *Zvit orhanizatsiinoho referenta TPA za chas vid 1.4. po 31.10.1951* [Report of the TPA organizational referent for the period from 1.4. to 31.10.1951]. 18 p. [in Ukrainian]

f) *Informatsiia pro diialnist Zakordonnykh Chastyn OUN* [Information on the activities of the Foreign Parts of the OUN]. 9 p. [in Ukrainian]

g) *Informatsiia pro vidnosyny v Argentyni (predstavlena d. Karym)* [Information on relations in Argentina (presented by friend Karim)]. 8 p. [in Ukrainian]

h) *Komunikat* [Communication]. 2 p. [in Ukrainian]

i) *Korotkyi ohliad pratsi za ostannie pivrichchia* [Brief review of work for the last six months]. 3 p. [in Ukrainian]

j) *Kuschavyi – Vymozi. 20.12.51* [Kuschavy to Vymoha]. 1 p. [in Ukrainian]

k) *Maksym. Zahalnyi zvit za chas vid 1 sichnia – 31 hrudnia 1951* [Maxim. General report for the period from January 1 to December 31, 1951]. 6 p. [in Ukrainian]

l) *TPF. Orhanizatsiynyi zvit za chas vid 1.1.1951 r.* [TPF. Organizational report for the period from 1.1. until 12/31/1951]. 2 p. [in Ukrainian]

m) *Uprava Kooperatyvy. Kooperatyvnyi zvit za 1951 r.* [Board of the TPA Cooperative. Cooperative report for 1951]. 9 p. [in Ukrainian]

n) *Yava. Zvit za period vid 1.1.50 do 30.12.50* [Java. Report for the period from 1.1.50 to 30.12.50]. 4 p. [in Ukrainian]

Akymenko, K. V. (2018). *Istoriia stvorennia svitovoi koordynatsiinoi rady ideolohichno sporidnennykh organizatsii organizatsii* [The History of the Creation of the World Coordination Council of Ideologically Related Organizations]. *Storinky istorii*, 47, 52–60. DOI: 10.20535/2307-5244.47.2019.158270 [in Ukrainian]

Akymenko, K. V. (2022). *Komemoratyvni praktyky natsionalistychnykh organizatsii ukrainskoi diaspory (1950-ti – pochatku 1990-kh rr.)* [Commemorative Practices of Nationalist Organizations of the Ukrainian Diaspora (1950s – early 1990s)]. *Storinky istorii*, 54, 294–313. DOI: 10.20535/2307-5244.54.2022.264597 [in Ukrainian]

Antoniuk, Ya., & Trofymovych, V. (2021). *Protyborstvo spetssluzhb zakordonnykh tsentriv OUN iz KDB (1960-ti – pochatok 1990-kh rr.)* [The Struggle of the Special Services of the Foreign Centers of the OUN Against the KGB (1960s – early 1990s)]. *Ukrainskyi Istorychnyi Zhurnal – Ukrainian Historical Journal*, 3, 114–125. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15407/uhj2021.03.114> [in Ukrainian]

Dvi radiovysylni. (1950). *Dvi radiovysylni* [Two radio towers]. *Surma*, 26, 9. [in Ukrainian]

Hai-Nyzhnyk, P. (2022). *The OUN(R-B) in the 1970s and 1980s: a vision of the socio-political system of the Ukrainian State.* *Skhidnoevropeyskyi istorychnyi visnyk*, 22, 178–193. Doi: 10.24919/2519-058X.22.253727 [in English]

Ilnytskyi, V., & Haliv, M. (2019). *The struggle of soviet repressive and punitive organs against former romanian intelligence and counterintelligence structures in Ukraine (1944 – 1951).* *Analele Universitatii din Craiova. Istorie – Annals of the University of Craiova. History*, XXIV, 2 (36), 103–118. [in English]

Ilnytskyi, V., & Haliv, M. (2020). *Structure and activities of romanian intelligence and counterintelligence bodies in Transnistria (1941 – 1944) (based on SSA SSU).* *Analele Universitatii din Craiova. Istorie – Annals of the University of Craiova. History*, XXV, 1 (37), 107–124. [in English]

Ilnytskyi, V., Telvak, V. (2018). *Operative investigations of the participants of Ukrainian liberation movement in romanian people's republic (1955 – 1957) by the soviet repressive bodies.* *Analele Universitatii din Craiova. Istorie – Annals of the University of Craiova. History*, XXIII, 2 (34), 97–108.

[in English]

Kaminskyi, A. (1999). *Vasyl Okhrymovych. Biografichni narys. Z peredmovoiu Myroslava Prokopa* [Vasyl Okhrymovych. Biographical essays. With a foreword by Myroslav Prokop]. Toronto – Niu-York – London: Vydannia politychnoi rady Organizatsii Ukrainykykh Natsionalistiv za kordonom (PR OUNz) i Seredovyshcha UHVR. [in Ukrainian]

Kentii, A. (1999). *Narys borotby OUN–UPA v Ukraini (1946 – 1956 rr.)* [Essay of the OUN–UPA struggle in Ukraine (1946 – 1956)]. Kyiv: Instytut istorii Ukrainy NAN Ukrainy. [in Ukrainian]

Koval, O. (2016). *Spomyny moho zhyttia: Lviv – Aushvits – Brussel...* [Memories of My Life: Lviv – Auschwitz – Brussels...]. Comps. Mykola Posivnych. Lviv: Halytska vydavnycha spilka. [in Ukrainian]

Kuk, V. (1999). Do istorii konfliktu mizh ZCH OUN I ZP UHVR [To the History of the Conflict Between the FP OUN and the FRO UHLS]. *Volia i Batkivshchyna, 1*, 80–85. [in Ukrainian]

Kyrychuk, Yu. (2023). *Ukrainskyi natsionalnyi rukh 40–50-kh rokiv XX stolittia: ideolohiia ta praktyka* [The Ukrainian national movement of the 40s and 50s of the 20th century: ideology and practice]. Lviv, Dobra sprava. [in Ukrainian]

Mudryk-Mechnyk, S. (1995). *Zakordonni Chastyny Organizatsii Ukrainykykh Natsionalistiv (prychynky do istorii)* [Foreign Parts of the Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists (contributions to history)]. Lviv: “Halytska vydavnycha spilka”. [in Ukrainian]

OUN i UPA. (2005). *Orhanizatsiia Ukrainykykh Natsionalistiv i Ukrainska Povstanska Armiia. Istorychni narysy* [Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists and the Ukrainian Insurgent Army. Historical Essays], ed. S. Kulchytskyi. Kyiv: Instytut istorii Ukrainy NAN Ukrainy. [in Ukrainian]

Panchenko, O. (2003). *Orhanizatsiia Ukrainykykh Natsionalistiv za kordonom v konteksti ukrainskoho derzhavotvorennya* [Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists abroad in the context of Ukrainian statehood]. Hadiach: Vydavnytstvo “Hadiach”. [in Ukrainian]

Patryliak, I. (2012). *“Vstan i borys! Shukhai i vir...”: ukrainske natsionalistychno pidpillia ta povstanskyi rukh (1939 – 1960 rr.)* [“Get up and Fight! Listen and Believe...”: the Ukrainian Nationalist Underground and the Insurgent Movement (1939 – 1960)]. Lviv: Chasopys. [in Ukrainian]

Rii, H. (2021). *Narys istorii Antybolshhevitskoho bloku narodiv* [Essay on the history of the Anti-Bolshevik Bloc of Peoples]. Kyiv: ŪVS. [in Ukrainian]

Rusnachenko, A. (2002). *Narod zburenyi: Natsionalno-vyzvolnyi rukh v Ukraini i natsionalni rukhy oporu v Bilorusii, Lytvi, Latvii, Estonii u 1940 – 50-kh rokakh* [The People are Outraged: The National Liberation Movement in Ukraine and the National Resistance Movement in Belarus, Lithuania, Latvia, and Estonia in the 1940s and 1950s.]. Kyiv: Universytetske vydavnytstvo “Pulsary”. [in Ukrainian]

Slobodianiuk, M. (2023). Ukrainski y rosiiski naratyvy istorii OUN ta UPA. *Ukrainskyi istorychnyi zhurnal – Ukrainian Historical Journal, 6*, 235–252. DOI: 10.15407/uhj2023.06.235 [in Ukrainian]

Sych, O. (2007). Stepan Lenkavskiy v istorii Orhanizatsii Ukrainykykh Natsionalistiv (1929 – 1977 rr.) [Stepan Lenkavskiy in the history of the Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists (1929 – 1977)]. (*Candidat’s thesis*). Kyiv. [in Ukrainian]

Sych, O. (2017). Organizatsiina struktura zakordonnykh chastyn OUN na pochatku yii stanovlennia v 1946 rotsi [The Organizational Structure of the Foreign Units of the OUN at the Beginning of its Formation in 1946]. *Ukraina: kulturna spadshchyna, natsionalna svidomist, derzhavnist. Vyp. 30. Ukrainskyi vyzvolnyi rukh XX stolittia*, (pp. 266–279). Lviv: NAN Ukrainy, Instytut ukrainoznavstva im. I. Krypiakevycha. [in Ukrainian]

Troshchynskiy, V. P. (1994). *Mizhvoienna ukrainska emigratsiia v Yevropi yak istorychne i sotsialno-politychne yavyshe* [Interwar Ukrainian emigration in Europe as a historical and socio-political phenomenon]. Kyiv: Intel, 1994. 260 p.

Ukhach, V. Z. (2021). Ukrainyky vyzvolnyi rukh yak napriam naukovykh studii 1987 – 1997 rr. Istorygrafichniy dyskurs [The Ukrainian liberation movement as a direction of scientific studies 1987 – 1997. Historiographic discourse]. *Storinky istorii, 51*, 205–217. DOI: 10.20535/2307-5244.51.2020.220186 [in Ukrainian]

Viedenieiev, D., & Bystrukhin, H. (2006). *Mech i tryzub. Rozvidka i kontrrozvidka rukhu ukrainskykh natsionalistiv (1920 – 1945)* [Sword and Trident. Intelligence and Counterintelligence of the Movement of Ukrainian Nationalists (1920 – 1945)]. Kyiv: “Geneza”. [in Ukrainian]

Viedenieiev, D., & Bystrukhin, H. (2007). *Dvobii bez kompromisiv. Protlyborstvo spetspidrozdiliv OUN ta radianskykh syl spetsoperatsii. 1945 – 1980-ti roky* [Duel Without Compromises. The Struggle of the Special Units of the OUN and the Soviet Special Operations Forces. 1945 – 1980s]. Kyiv: K.I.S. [in Ukrainian]

*The article was received July 27, 2023.
Article recommended for publishing 30/05/2024.*